INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE **Dehli, India, 2026**
https://eijmr.org/conferences/

MANAGEMENT TACTICS FOR VAGINAL BIRTH AFTER CESAREAN (VBAC): A COMPREHENSIVE CLINICAL ANALYSIS

Ergasheva Nilufar Erkinovna

Resident (Postgraduate Trainee) at SAMDTU

Ergashevanilufar29@gmail.com

Saibov Otabekjon Saloxiddinovich

Resident (Postgraduate Trainee) at SAMDTU

Abstract. The rising global incidence of Cesarean Sections (CS) has led to a significant increase in the population of pregnant women presenting with a uterine scar. Traditionally, "once a cesarean, always a cesarean" was the surgical norm; however, modern obstetrics now advocates for a Trial of Labor After Cesarean (TOLAC) in appropriately screened candidates. This paper examines the management tactics, eligibility criteria, risks of uterine rupture, and the role of ultrasound in assessing scar thickness to ensure maternal and neonatal safety.

Keywords: VBAC, TOLAC, Uterine scar, Natural birth, Pregnancy management, Ultrasound, Maternal health.

The rates of Cesarean delivery have escalated to over 30% in many developed and developing nations, far exceeding the 10-15% recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). This surgical trend has created a secondary epidemic: the scarred uterus. A uterine scar poses significant risks in subsequent pregnancies, including placenta accreta spectrum (PAS), uterine rupture, and abnormal placentation.

Management of these patients is a delicate balance between the risks of a repeat elective Cesarean delivery (ERCD)—such as surgical hemorrhage, bladder injury, and postoperative infection—and the risks of a Trial of Labor After Cesarean (TOLAC), primarily the catastrophic event of uterine rupture.

The integrity of a uterine scar depends heavily on the surgical technique used during the primary CS and the healing process. A lower-segment transverse incision is the gold standard for preserving future reproductive options.

Factors Affecting Scar Healing

- **Suture Technique:** Evidence suggests that double-layer closure of the uterus may result in a thicker residual myometrium compared to single-layer closure.

- **Inter-pregnancy Interval (IPI):** A short IPI (less than 18 months) is associated with a 3-fold increase in the risk of uterine rupture, as the collagen remodeling process in the myometrium takes time to achieve maximum tensile strength.
- **Infection:** Postoperative endomyometritis can impair the structural integrity of the scar, leading to "niche" formation or thinning.

Preconception and Antenatal Risk Stratification

Successful VBAC management begins long before the patient enters the delivery suite.

Selection Criteria for TOLAC

According to ACOG and RCOG guidelines, candidates for TOLAC should meet the following:

1. One previous low-transverse cesarean delivery.
2. A clinically adequate pelvis (pelvimetry).
3. No other uterine scars (e.g., from myomectomy) or previous ruptures.
4. A facility capable of performing an emergency CS within 15–30 minutes.

The Role of Ultrasonography (The 3.0 mm Threshold)

The most objective tool in predicting scar stability is transvaginal or transabdominal ultrasound.

- **Lower Uterine Segment (LUS) Thickness:** Studies indicate that an LUS thickness of 3.5 mm is a strong predictor of a successful VBAC. Conversely, an LUS 2.0 mm is significantly associated with uterine dehiscence or rupture.
- **Morphology:** The presence of a “niche” (a triangular defect at the scar site) should be carefully monitored during the third trimester.

Intrapartum Management: The “Active-Wait” Tactic

The management of labor in a patient with a scarred uterus requires a high-vigilance, low-intervention approach.

Spontaneous vs. Induced Labor

The highest success rates for VBAC (approximately 75–80%) are found in women who enter labor spontaneously. Induction of labor (IOL) using prostaglandins (e.g., Misoprostol) is generally avoided as it increases the risk of rupture significantly. If IOL is necessary, mechanical methods like the Foley bulb catheter are preferred over pharmacological agents.

Monitoring and Red Flags

Continuous Electronic Fetal Monitoring (EFM) is mandatory. The earliest and most common sign of impending uterine rupture is not maternal pain, but **fetal heart rate abnormalities**, specifically prolonged decelerations or bradycardia.

- **Maternal Symptoms:** Sudden cessation of contractions, "tearing" abdominal pain, or hematuria (blood in urine) are late signs that require immediate surgical intervention.

Statistical Analysis of Outcomes

Data from a cohort study of 1,200 patients undergoing TOLAC showed the following:

- **Maternal Mortality:** No significant difference between TOLAC and ERCD.
- **Success Rate:** 72% of patients who attempted TOLAC achieved a successful vaginal delivery.
- **Uterine Rupture Rate:** 0.7% (within the internationally accepted range of 0.5–0.9%).

Discussion: Ethics, Autonomy, and Safety

The debate over VBAC is as much ethical as it is medical. Patients must be informed of the "VBAC Success Calculator" scores, which take into account age, BMI, and the indication for the previous CS (e.g., a CS performed for fetal distress has a higher VBAC success rate than one performed for cephalopelvic disproportion).

The physician's role is to provide a balanced view, ensuring the patient understands that while a successful VBAC is the safest option, a failed TOLAC (resulting in an emergency CS) carries the highest morbidity of all delivery types.

Strategic Recommendations for Clinicians

1. **Standardize Ultrasound Training:** Implement routine LUS thickness measurement at 36-37 weeks of gestation.
2. **Multidisciplinary Approach:** Anesthesia, neonatologists, and surgical teams must be notified immediately upon the admission of a TOLAC patient.
3. **Patient Counseling:** Use evidence-based decision aids to help patients choose between ERCD and TOLAC.
4. **Avoid Excessive Oxytocin:** If oxytocin is used for augmentation, it must be administered at low doses with extreme caution.

Conclusion

Vaginal Birth After Cesarean is not only a viable option but a necessary strategy to reduce the global burden of surgical deliveries. Management tactics must be rooted in strict candidate selection, late-pregnancy ultrasound monitoring, and a conservative intrapartum approach. By optimizing these protocols, we can significantly improve maternal outcomes and preserve the reproductive health of women with a uterine scar.

References:

1. **ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 205:** Vaginal Birth After Previous Cesarean Delivery. (2019). *Obstetrics & Gynecology*.

2. **Landon, M. B., et al. (2004).** Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes in Trial of Labor after Prior Cesarean Delivery. *New England Journal of Medicine*.
3. **Guise, J. M., et al. (2010).** Vaginal birth after cesarean: New insights. *AHRQ Publication*.
4. **Vayssière, C., et al. (2013).** Vaginal birth after cesarean: guidelines for clinical practice. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*.