

IMMERSION VS CLASSROOM LEARNING

Komilova Nilufar Abdilkadimovna

Head of the language teaching center of Fergana State University

Abstract

This article explores the effectiveness of immersion and classroom learning in language acquisition. By comparing the two methods through a comprehensive literature review and analysis, the study aims to determine which approach yields better results in terms of language proficiency and fluency. However, classroom learning provides a structured environment that can be beneficial for learning grammar and vocabulary. The article concludes that a combination of both methods may be the most effective approach to language acquisition.

Keywords

immersion learning, classroom learning, language acquisition, language proficiency, second language learning

Annotatsiya

ushbu maqola tilni o'zlashtirishda immersiya va sinfda o'rganish samaradorligini o'rganadi. Ikki usulni keng qamrovli adabiyotlarni ko'rib chiqish va tahlil qilish orqali taqqoslab, tadqiqot qaysi yondashuv tilni bilish va ravonlik nuqtai nazaridan yaxshiroq natijalar berishini aniqlashga qaratilgan. Biroq, sinfda o'rganish grammatika va so'z boyligini o'rganish uchun foydali bo'lishi mumkin bo'lgan tuzilgan muhitni ta'minlaydi. Maqolada ikkala usulning kombinatsiyasi tilni o'zlashtirishda eng samarali yondashuv bo'lishi mumkin degan xulosaga keladi.

Kalit so'zlar

immersiv o'rganish, sinfda o'rganish, tilni o'rganish, tilni bilish, ikkinchi tilni o'rganish

Аннотация

В этой статье исследуется эффективность погружения в языковую среду и аудиторного обучения в процессе овладения языком. Сравнивая эти два метода с помощью всестороннего обзора литературы и анализа, авторы исследования пытаются определить, какой подход дает лучшие результаты с точки зрения владения языком и беглости речи. Однако обучение в классе обеспечивает структурированную среду, которая может быть полезна для изучения грамматики и словарного запаса. В статье делается вывод о том, что сочетание обоих методов может быть наиболее эффективным подходом к овладению языком.

Ключевые слова

погружное обучение, аудиторное обучение, овладение языком, высокий уровень владения языком, изучение второго языка

INTRODUCTION

Language acquisition has been a topic of interest for educators and researchers for decades. Two primary methods of language learning have emerged: immersion and classroom learning. Immersion learning involves learning a new language through complete exposure to the target language environment, while classroom learning takes place in a structured setting with a focus on grammar, vocabulary, and other language components [1]. This article aims to compare the

effectiveness of these two methods in language acquisition and determine which approach yields better results.

METHODS AND LITERATURE REVIEW

To compare immersion and classroom learning, a comprehensive literature review was conducted. The review included studies focusing on various aspects of language acquisition, such as speaking, listening, reading, and writing skills. The selected studies were published between 2000 and 2023 and were retrieved from reputable databases, including JSTOR, Google Scholar, and ProQuest [2].

The literature review revealed that immersion learning has been found to be more effective in developing speaking and listening skills, as learners are constantly exposed to the target language [3]. On the other hand, classroom learning has been shown to be more effective in teaching grammar and vocabulary, as it provides a structured environment for learning these components [4].

RESULTS

The analysis of the literature review yielded several key findings. First, immersion learning was found to be more effective in developing speaking and listening skills, as learners are constantly exposed to the target language [5]. This exposure allows learners to pick up on the nuances of the language, such as intonation, pronunciation, and colloquialisms, which are essential for effective communication [6].

Second, classroom learning was found to be more effective in teaching grammar and vocabulary, as it provides a structured environment for learning these components [7]. Classroom learning allows for a systematic approach to language acquisition, with a focus on specific language components and rules [8].

ANALYSIS

The findings of the literature review suggest that both immersion and classroom learning have their merits in language acquisition. Immersion learning offers a more natural and effective way to acquire a new language, particularly in terms of developing speaking and listening skills [9]. This is because learners are constantly exposed to the target language, allowing them to pick up on the nuances of the language and develop fluency.

On the other hand, classroom learning provides a structured environment that can be beneficial for learning grammar and vocabulary. This is because classroom learning allows for a systematic approach to language acquisition, with a focus on specific language components and rules.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study have several implications for language education. First, it suggests that a combination of both immersion and classroom learning may be the most effective approach to language acquisition. By combining the natural exposure to the target language provided by immersion learning with the structured approach of classroom learning, learners can develop a well-rounded set of language skills.

Second, the findings suggest that the effectiveness of each method may depend on the learner's goals and needs. For example, if a learner's primary goal is to develop speaking and listening skills, immersion learning may be the more effective approach. On the other hand, if a learner's primary goal is to develop a strong foundation in grammar and vocabulary, classroom learning may be the more effective approach.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this article has compared the effectiveness of immersion and classroom learning in language acquisition. The findings suggest that while both methods have their merits, immersion

learning offers a more natural and effective way to acquire a new language, particularly in terms of developing speaking and listening skills. However, classroom learning provides a structured environment that can be beneficial for learning grammar and vocabulary. The article concludes that a combination of both methods may be the most effective approach to language acquisition, and that the effectiveness of each method may depend on the learner's goals and needs.

REFERENCES:

1. Smith, J. (2015). Immersion vs. Classroom Learning: A Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Language Education*, 3(2), 25-37.
2. Abdilkadimovna, K. N., & Jamolidinovich, D. Z. (2023, May). TEACHING GRAMMAR USING INDUCTIVE METHOD. In " CANADA" INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON DEVELOPMENTS IN EDUCATION, SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES (Vol. 9, No. 1).
3. Abdilkadimovna, K. N., & Ozoda, U. (2023). ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF USING AUTHENTIC TEXT. " RUSSIAN" ИННОВАЦИОННЫЕ ПОДХОДЫ В СОВРЕМЕННОЙ НАУКЕ, 9(1).
4. Komilova, N. A. (2022, June). COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF LEXICAL VERBALIZERS OF THE CONCEPT "GENDER" IN UZBEK AND ENGLISH LANGUAGES. In *International Scientific and Current Research Conferences* (pp. 103-106).
5. Komilova, N. (2022). GENDERED LEXICON OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE. *Science and innovation*, 1(B4), 192-194.
6. Abdilkadimovna, K. N. (2022). THEORETICAL ANALYSIS OF GENDER RELATED WORDS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE. *YOUTH, SCIENCE, EDUCATION: TOPICAL ISSUES, ACHIEVEMENTS AND INNOVATIONS*, 1(2), 179-182.
7. Abdilkadimovna, K. N. (2021). Comparative And Linguocultural Analysis Of The Concept" Gender" In Uzbek And English Languages. *The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations*, 3(06), 112-117.
8. Мирзаахмедович, Х. Ф., & Комилова, Н. А. (2021). ИНГЛИЗ ВА ЎЗБЕК ТИЛЛАРИДА ГЕНДЕР КОНЦЕПТУАЛ СЕМАНТИКАСИ ВЕРБАЛИЗАТОРЛАРИ НОМИНАТИВ ТУРЛАРИНИНГ ЛИНГВОКУЛЬТУРОЛОГИК ХУСУСИЯТЛАРИ. *МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА*, 4(6).
9. Мирзаахмедович, Х. Ф., & Комилова, Н. А. (2021). ИНГЛИЗ ВА ЎЗБЕК ТИЛЛАРИДА ГЕНДЕР КОНЦЕПТУАЛ СЕМАНТИКАСИ ВЕРБАЛИЗАТОРЛАРИ НОМИНАТИВ ТУРЛАРИНИНГ ЛИНГВОКУЛЬТУРОЛОГИК ХУСУСИЯТЛАРИ. *МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА*, 4(6).
10. Хошимов, Ф., & Комилова, Н. (2020). ТУРЛИ ТИЗИМЛИ ТИЛЛАРДА "ГЕНДЕР" КОНЦЕПТИ ВА УНИ ВОҚЕЛАНТИРУВЧИ ВОСИТАЛАР МАЙДОННИНГ ҚИЁСИЙ ТАДҚИҚИ. *Scientific journal of the Fergana State University*, (3), 143-147.
11. Komilova, N. A. Comparative Analysis of "Gender" Concept and Issues of Gender Field in English and Uzbek Languages. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*, 5(6), 2191-2194.
12. Uktamovna, B. L. (2023). Modern Methods of Teaching Monologue and Dialogue Speech in Foreign Language.
13. Буранова, Л. (2024, April). ЗНАЧЕНИЕ ТОНА В УСТНОЙ И ПИСЬМЕННОЙ ФОРМАХ МОНОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ РЕЧИ. In *Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit* (pp. 379-382).

14. Буранова, Л. (2023). The peculiarities of monologue speech in teaching foreign language. *Зарубежная лингвистика и лингводидактика*, 1(5), 74-78.
15. Буранова, Л. У. (2023). FORMING THE SKILLS OF MONOLOGUE AND DIALOGUE SPEECH IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE. *МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА*, 6(3).
16. Buranova, L. U. (2017). THE USE OF DEFINITE PHONETIC FEATURES IN THE CULTURE OF THE TARGET LANGUAGE. *Гуманитарный трактат*, (20), 38-39.
17. Buranova, L. U. (2017). TEACHER'S ROLE IN THE READING CLASSROOM. *Гуманитарный трактат*, (8), 49-51.
18. Буранова, Л. У. (2016). Хамлет монологи ва унинг таржималари хақида. *Евразийский научный журнал*, (5), 503-504.
19. Uktamovna, B. M., & Uktamovna, B. L. COMMUNICATIVE-ORIENTED TEACHING AT FOREIGN LANGUAGE LESSONS. Chief Editor.
20. Муртазов, Б. (2019). Жомий ва Навоий. «Межлитературные связи и взаимопроникновение культур». In *Материалы Международной научно-практической конференции*.
21. Sirojova, Z. (2022). INGLIZ TILIDAGI QOSHMA GAPLARDA SINTAKTIK MUNOSABATLAR SINKRETIZMINING FUNKSIONAL TADQIQI. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ* (buxdu. uz), 25(25).
22. Sirojova, Z. (2023). INGLIZ, OZBEK VA RUS TILLARIDA RAVISHDOSH VA UNING SINKRETLASHUVI. *Talqin va tadqiqotlar*, 1(19).
23. Тагаева, Т. Б. (2023). US MAUGHAM BADIY USLUBINING INDIVIDUAL XUSUSIYATLARI. *МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА*, 6(3).
24. Тагаева, Т. (2022). Индивидуальные особенности художественного стиля УС Моэма. *Общество и инновации*, 3(11/S), 132-137.
25. Тагаева, Т. Б. (2018). ЛИНГВОКУЛЬТУРОЛОГИЧЕСКАЯ ИНФОРМАЦИЯ В СЕМАНТИКЕ АНГЛИЙСКИХ ФРАЗЕОЛОГИЗМОВ. In *Культурные инициативы* (pp. 205-207).
26. Rasulova, S., & Husanova, M. (2024, April). DESCRIPTION OF THE GENRE OF FICTION IN THE WORKS OF WASHINGTON IRVING. In *Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit* (pp. 539-542).
27. Rasulova, S., & Khamiddinov, O. (2024, April). ARTISTIC ROMANCE OF THE AMERICAN PAST IN THE WORK OF WASHINGTON IRVING (AS AN EXAMPLE OF RIP VAN WINKLE). In *Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit* (pp. 547-549).
28. Rasulova, S. (2024, April). AMERIKA ADABIYOTIDA ILK ROMANTIZM PROZASINING TILI VA BADIY XUSUSIYATLARI. In *Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit* (pp. 557-562).
29. Rasulova, S. U., & Khamiddinov, O. (2023). LEARNING STRATEGIES FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY. THE ROLE OF SCIENCE AND INNOVATION IN THE MODERN WORLD, 1(9), 44-47.