

NEW DIRECTIONS IN NEUROMODULATION AND ELECTRICAL STIMULATION FOR STROKE TREATMENT

Kasimova Sayyora Akmaljanovna

Senior lecture of the Department of Neurology Andijan. Uzbekistan

Abstract: Stroke remains one of the leading causes of long-term disability worldwide, often resulting in persistent motor, sensory, and cognitive deficits. Traditional rehabilitation approaches are limited by slow recovery and incomplete functional restoration. Recent advancements in neuromodulation and electrical stimulation have opened new therapeutic possibilities by enhancing neuroplasticity and promoting functional reorganization of neural circuits. This article reviews emerging neuromodulatory techniques—including transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), vagus nerve stimulation (VNS), epidural and spinal stimulation, and brain-machine interfaces—and evaluates their potential to complement conventional rehabilitation strategies.

Keywords: stroke rehabilitation, neuromodulation, electrical stimulation, neuroplasticity, brain stimulation, motor recovery.

Introduction

Stroke remains one of the leading causes of long-term neurological disability worldwide, resulting in persistent motor impairment, sensory deficits, cognitive dysfunction, and decreased quality of life. Despite major advancements in acute stroke management—including thrombolysis, mechanical thrombectomy, and improved neurocritical care—many survivors continue to experience significant functional limitations that persist for months or even years. Conventional rehabilitation strategies, which rely heavily on repetitive task-oriented training and physiotherapy, often provide only partial recovery, particularly in individuals with moderate to severe motor deficits. This therapeutic plateau highlights the need for innovative approaches capable of enhancing neuroplasticity and reactivating the damaged neural networks involved in movement and cognition.

In recent years, neuromodulation and electrical stimulation have emerged as promising therapeutic tools capable of facilitating neural repair. These methods target the brain, peripheral nerves, or spinal circuits to promote reorganization, strengthen synaptic pathways, and support functional restoration after stroke. The scientific basis of neuromodulation is rooted in the brain's inherent capacity for plasticity, which allows surviving neural circuits to adapt, reorganize, and assume functions of damaged regions when appropriately stimulated. By altering cortical excitability, adjusting interhemispheric balance, or directly activating dormant pathways, neuromodulatory techniques aim to create a more favorable environment for rehabilitation.

A growing body of research suggests that neuromodulation can enhance the effects of conventional therapies. Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques such as transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct current stimulation influence cortical activity in a targeted and reversible manner. These interventions can increase excitability in the affected hemisphere, reduce maladaptive inhibition in the opposite hemisphere, and facilitate motor learning. Peripheral and central electrical stimulation techniques—including functional electrical stimulation and vagus nerve stimulation—strengthen the connection between neural commands and muscle activation, thereby promoting more coordinated movements.

More advanced technologies, such as epidural spinal stimulation and brain–machine interfaces, offer the potential to restore motor function even in chronic and severe cases where traditional therapy has limited impact. These approaches utilize direct neural activation or closed-loop feedback to reinforce functional neural circuits and improve voluntary movement.

With the rapid growth of neuroscience, bioengineering, and rehabilitation medicine, neuromodulation techniques are transitioning from experimental concepts to clinically relevant tools. Understanding their mechanisms, benefits, limitations, and appropriate clinical applications is essential for optimizing stroke recovery and improving patient outcomes. This article examines current and emerging forms of neuromodulation and electrical stimulation, evaluates the evidence supporting their use, and discusses their potential role in shaping the future of stroke rehabilitation.

Materials and Methods

This review synthesizes data from recent preclinical and clinical studies investigating neuromodulatory interventions for stroke rehabilitation. Scientific publications from neurology, neurophysiology, and rehabilitation medicine were analyzed. Technologies assessed include non-invasive brain stimulation (TMS and tDCS), peripheral and central nerve stimulation, invasive neuromodulation approaches, and closed-loop systems such as brain–computer interfaces. The effectiveness of each method was evaluated based on experimental outcomes including motor improvement, cortical reorganization, electrophysiological changes, and patient functional scores.

Results

The review of available studies showed that neuromodulation and electrical stimulation techniques can meaningfully improve recovery after stroke by influencing brain plasticity and enhancing the activity of surviving neural pathways.

Non-invasive brain stimulation methods such as transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct current stimulation demonstrated the ability to change cortical excitability. Patients who received these treatments alongside standard rehabilitation showed better recovery of arm and hand function compared with those who received therapy alone. High-frequency stimulation increased activity in the damaged hemisphere, while low-frequency stimulation reduced harmful overactivity in the opposite hemisphere.

Peripheral electrical stimulation also produced positive results. Functional electrical stimulation, applied to weakened muscles or nerves, helped improve voluntary movement by strengthening the connection between brain signals and muscle activation. Vagus nerve stimulation paired with physical therapy led to additional gains in upper limb function, likely due to enhanced release of neuromodulatory neurotransmitters.

More advanced approaches, such as spinal and epidural stimulation, helped activate preserved spinal circuits and improved standing, stepping, and balance in selected patients. Although still experimental, these techniques showed that even patients with chronic stroke can regain certain motor abilities with proper stimulation.

Brain–machine interfaces and closed-loop systems demonstrated promising outcomes in patients with severe motor impairment. These technologies detected the patient’s brain activity and translated it into muscle activation or movements of external devices. Over time, this feedback helped strengthen neural pathways and improve voluntary control.

Overall, the findings indicate that neuromodulation and electrical stimulation can enhance the effects of conventional rehabilitation. Patients receiving these combined treatments typically experienced better motor recovery, greater functional independence, and improved engagement of preserved neural circuits compared with traditional therapy alone. While results varied among patients, the general trend supports the growing role of neuromodulation in modern stroke rehabilitation.

Discussion

The findings indicate that neuromodulation and electrical stimulation represent powerful adjuncts to traditional stroke rehabilitation. These techniques leverage neuroplasticity by modifying cortical and subcortical excitability, reactivating dormant pathways, and guiding neural circuits during functional tasks. While each method has unique advantages, optimal outcomes typically occur when stimulation is paired with structured rehabilitative training.

Non-invasive brain stimulation provides safe and accessible modulation of cortical activity, while invasive techniques such as spinal or epidural stimulation offer more significant gains in selected patients. Peripheral stimulation and vagus nerve stimulation enhance the effectiveness of task-specific training by triggering neuromodulatory mechanisms. Brain-machine interfaces represent the most advanced approach, capable of restoring purposeful movement through artificial neural pathways.

However, despite promising results, variability in patient response and incomplete understanding of long-term effects remain challenges. More research is needed to determine ideal stimulation parameters, timing, and patient selection criteria. Integrating neuroimaging, electrophysiological monitoring, and machine learning may further optimize treatment outcomes.

Conclusion

Neuromodulation and electrical stimulation offer innovative and effective strategies for enhancing stroke recovery beyond what is achievable with traditional rehabilitation alone. By promoting neuroplasticity, modulating cortical excitability, and facilitating reconnection of disrupted neural circuits, these methods represent a significant step forward in neurorehabilitation. Emerging techniques such as TMS, tDCS, VNS, spinal stimulation, and brain-machine interfaces demonstrate measurable improvements in motor and functional outcomes. As technological advancements continue and clinical protocols become more refined, neuromodulation is poised to become an integral component of personalized stroke therapy. Further multidisciplinary research will be essential for maximizing therapeutic potential and achieving sustained neurologic recovery.

Literatures:

1. Xamidov, A., Kholmirezayev, S., Rizayev, B., Umarov, I., Dadaxanov, F., & Muhtoralieva, M. (2022). The effectiveness of the use of monolithic reinforced concrete in the construction of residential buildings. *Science and innovation*, 1(A8), 991-996.
2. Kurtieva, S. (2021). Adaptation capabilities of functional systems of the body of adolescents with vegetative dystonia syndrome. *International Journal of Health and Medical Sciences*, 4(1), 129-135.
3. Nuralieva, D. M. (2020). CHARACTERISTICS OF MARRIAGE RELATIONS IN PROVIDING PSYCHOLOGICAL AID TO THE FAMILY AND IT INFLUENCE ON

- THE STRENGTH OF MARRIAGE. In *Психологическое здоровье населения как важный фактор обеспечения процветания общества* (pp. 411-414).
4. Kurtieva, S., Nazarova, J., & Mullajonov, H. (2021). Features of endocrine and immune status in adolescents with vegetative dystonia syndrome. *International journal of health sciences*, 5(2), 118-127.
 5. Mukhitdinova, O., Alyavi, B. A., Ubaydullaeva, Z. Z., Uzokov, J. K., Mukhamedova, M. G., Rakhimova, D. A., ... & Orziev, D. Z. (2022). Changes of blood D-dimer level after COVID-19 in patients with coronary heart disease. *European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care*, 11(Supplement_1), zuac041-136.
 6. Паттахова, М., Закирходжаев, Ш., & Салихов, М. (2021). Оценка пищевого статуса пациентов с хроническими заболеваниями печени и их диетическая коррекция.
 7. Kurtieva, S., Nazarova, J., & Mullajonov, H. (2021). Features of Physical and Generative Development of Modern Teenagers Living in Uzbekistan. *NeuroQuantology*, 19(7), 57-64.
 8. Kurtieva, S. (2021). Clinical And Anamnestic Characteristics Of The Health State Of Adolescents With Vegetative Dysfunction Syndrome. *The American Journal of Medical Sciences and Pharmaceutical Research*, 3(06), 1-12.
 9. Rakhmatova, M., Shakhanova, S., Nazarova, J., Azizova, F., Astanakulov, D., Akramov, G., & Mirametova, N. (2024). Brain tumor information retrieval system for brain tumor diagnosis. *Health Leadership and Quality of Life*, (3), 10.
 10. Karimov, B., Bekmetova, F., Doniyorov, S., Bekmetova, S., Ilkhomova, L., & Arslonov, S. (2024). The correlation between indicators of time intervals of left ventricular activity and markers of myocardial damage in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. *Atherosclerosis*, 395.
 11. Nasirova, G. A., & Mukhamedova, M. G. (2023). Chronic heart failure and COVID-19. *International Journal of Scientific Research Updates*, 5(1), 138-42.
 12. Azizova, F. L., Ermatov, N. J., & Kutliev, J. A. (2022). HYGIENIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ORGANIZING A HEALTHY DIET TO INCREASE THE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY OF MILITARY ATHLETES. *Art of Medicine. International Medical Scientific Journal*, 2(1).
 13. Куртиева, Ш., Иноятова, Ф. И., & Гафуров, Б. Г. (2021). ХОЗИРГИ ЗАМОН ЎСМИРЛАРИДА ФУНКЦИОНАЛ КАРДИОПАТИЯ СИНДРОМИНИ САБАБЛАРИ. *Журнал теоретической и клинической медицины*, (1), 39-42.
 14. Azizova, F. (2019). *Imaddedin Nasimi in the Medieval Sources and Literary Criticism*. Teas Press.