pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 2, February -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

GREEN ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: NEW ECONOMIC MODELS OF THE 21ST CENTURY

Tashpulatova Dilshoda Sheraliyevna
Navoi State University of Mining and Technologies, Uzbekistan
E-mail: dshoda18@icloud.com
Phone: +998 88 534 84 44
Toshbotirov Ulugbek Oktam ugli
Navoi State University of Mining and Technologies, Uzbekistan
E-mail: u.toshbotirov@nsumt.uz
Phone: +998 93 812 67 76
Nurullayev Asadbek Rustamovich
Navoi State University of Mining and Technologies, Uzbekistan (Student)
E-mail: nurullayevasadbek007@gmail.com
Phone: +998 91 308 74 44
Zahina Dilshodovna Khudoyerova
Navoi State University of Mining and Technologies, Uzbekistan (Student)
E-mail: zahinahudoerova@gmail.com
Phone: +998 88 608 80 20

Annotation: This article examines the theoretical foundations and practical implementation of the green economy and sustainable development as emerging economic models of the 21st century. Based on international institutional reports and peer-reviewed academic research, the study analyzes the evolution of sustainability concepts, policy instruments, macroeconomic implications, and measurable outcomes of green transitions. The paper highlights the integration of environmental constraints into economic planning, the role of renewable energy expansion, circular economy practices, and sustainable finance mechanisms. Empirical data from global institutions demonstrate that investments in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and low-carbon technologies contribute to GDP growth, job creation, and emission reductions. The findings confirm that green economic models represent not only environmental necessity but also structural modernization of national economies.

Keywords: Green economy, sustainable development, circular economy, renewable energy, climate policy, sustainable finance, SDGs, low-carbon transition.

Introduction

The concept of sustainable development was formally defined in the report of the World Commission on Environment and Development, known as the Brundtland Report, which described it as development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs [1, p. 43]. Since then, sustainability has become central to global policy discourse.

The adoption of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development by the United Nations in 2015 established 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), integrating economic growth, environmental protection, and social inclusion [2, p. 3]. In parallel, the Paris Agreement under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) set a global target to limit temperature increase to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels [3, p. 21].

The green economy concept gained prominence after the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) defined it as an economy that results in improved human well-being and social equity, while significantly reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities [4, p. 16]. According to UNEP, investing 2% of global GDP annually in green sectors could initiate a transition toward low-carbon development [4, p. 5].

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 2, February -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

These frameworks collectively demonstrate that green economy and sustainable development are not abstract ideals but structured economic models supported by measurable indicators and institutional mechanisms.

Methodology

This study applies qualitative and comparative analysis of institutional reports and peer-reviewed literature published between 2006 and 2023. The methodology includes:

- Document analysis of UN, UNEP, World Bank, OECD, and IPCC reports;
- Statistical review of renewable energy, emission, and employment data;
- Comparative assessment of green policy instruments.

The analytical framework is based on the triple bottom line model (economic, environmental, social dimensions) and systems transition theory [5, p. 98]. Data are derived from authoritative international databases and global monitoring reports.

Results

Empirical evidence demonstrates significant progress in renewable energy deployment. According to the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), global renewable energy capacity reached 3,372 GW in 2022, representing an increase of 295 GW compared to the previous year [6, p. 15]. Renewable energy accounted for approximately 83% of all new power capacity additions in 2022 [6, p. 18].

Employment data confirm the economic relevance of green sectors. IRENA reports that renewable energy employment worldwide reached 13.7 million jobs in 2022 [7, p. 9].

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) indicates that global greenhouse gas emissions must decline by 43% by 2030 relative to 2019 levels to limit warming to 1.5°C [8, p. 15]. Energy systems transformation, including electrification and renewable expansion, plays a central role in achieving this target.

The OECD emphasizes that green growth policies enhance resource efficiency and innovation performance [9, p. 34]. Empirical studies show that countries investing in clean technologies experience higher rates of patent activity in environmental innovation sectors [9, p. 112].

The circular economy model, promoted by the European Commission, aims to decouple economic growth from resource consumption. The Circular Economy Action Plan identifies waste reduction, product longevity, and recycling as key pillars [10, p. 6].

Sustainable finance mechanisms have expanded rapidly. The World Bank reports that cumulative green bond issuance surpassed USD 2 trillion globally by 2023 [11, p. 27]. Financial flows aligned with climate objectives support infrastructure modernization and risk mitigation.

Discussion

The transition toward green economic models reflects a structural transformation of production systems, consumption patterns, and institutional governance frameworks rather than incremental environmental policy reform. Empirical evidence from global institutions demonstrates that climate change poses systemic macroeconomic risks. The World Bank estimates that without decisive climate mitigation and adaptation measures, up to 132 million people could be pushed into extreme poverty by 2030 due to climate-related shocks affecting agriculture, health, and labor productivity [12, p. 53]. This projection underscores the direct interdependence between environmental sustainability and macroeconomic stability. Climate-induced disruptions to supply chains, food systems, and infrastructure increase fiscal burdens and constrain long-term growth potential.

Green fiscal reforms, particularly carbon pricing mechanisms, represent one of the most extensively analyzed policy instruments in environmental economics. According to the OECD, carbon pricing initiatives—including carbon taxes and emissions trading systems—cover approximately 23% of global greenhouse gas emissions [9, p. 76]. These instruments function by internalizing negative environmental externalities into market prices, thereby correcting market failures associated with pollution. Empirical assessments suggest that carbon pricing stimulates

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 2, February -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

innovation in low-carbon technologies and encourages firms to optimize energy use. By creating predictable price signals, governments reduce investment uncertainty and enhance private-sector participation in clean technology markets.

The governance structure established under the Paris Agreement relies on nationally determined contributions (NDCs), allowing countries to set context-specific climate targets within a common global framework [3, p. 24]. This decentralized architecture promotes flexibility but also introduces challenges related to ambition gaps and enforcement mechanisms. The IPCC Sixth Assessment Report indicates that current global mitigation commitments remain insufficient to limit global warming to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels [8, p. 21]. Consequently, accelerated policy implementation and strengthened international cooperation are required to bridge the gap between pledged reductions and scientifically recommended pathways.

Energy efficiency investment is widely recognized as a cost-effective strategy within green economic transitions. UNEP estimates that each USD 1 invested in energy efficiency can generate up to USD 4 in economic returns through energy savings, increased productivity, and reduced health costs [4, p. 21]. Energy efficiency improvements in buildings, transportation, and industrial processes reduce operational expenses while decreasing emission intensity. From a macroeconomic perspective, reduced energy import dependence strengthens trade balances and enhances energy security, particularly in energy-importing economies.

The circular economy model further reinforces resource productivity and economic resilience. The European Commission reports that circular economy strategies could increase EU GDP by 0.5% by 2030 and create approximately 700,000 new jobs [10, p. 14]. By prioritizing product durability, repairability, recycling, and resource recovery, circular systems reduce raw material extraction and mitigate waste generation. This structural shift supports long-term competitiveness by stabilizing input costs and reducing exposure to volatile commodity markets. Moreover, circularity contributes to environmental risk mitigation by lowering pollution and biodiversity loss.

Technological innovation remains a central driver of green transformation. The OECD emphasizes that green growth policies are associated with increased patenting activity in environmental technologies [9, p. 112]. Innovation dynamics are influenced by regulatory certainty, public research funding, and international collaboration. Renewable energy technologies, particularly solar photovoltaics and wind power, have experienced significant cost reductions over the past decade, enhancing their competitiveness relative to fossil fuels. IRENA data confirm that renewable energy capacity additions accounted for 83% of total new power generation capacity in 2022 [6, p. 18]. This structural shift reflects both technological maturation and supportive policy frameworks.

Employment diversification represents another critical dimension of green economic transformation. The renewable energy sector employed 13.7 million people globally in 2022 [7, p. 9]. Green labor markets include manufacturing, installation, maintenance, research, and policy services. However, labor market transitions also generate distributional challenges, particularly in fossil fuel–dependent regions. Just transition policies—such as reskilling programs and regional economic diversification strategies—are essential to ensure social equity during structural change. Without adequate policy coordination, labor displacement risks may undermine political support for climate reforms.

Sustainable finance has emerged as a key enabler of green economic restructuring. The World Bank reports that cumulative global green bond issuance exceeded USD 2 trillion by 2023 [11, p. 27]. Green financial instruments channel capital toward renewable infrastructure, low-carbon transport systems, and energy-efficient construction projects. Financial sector integration of climate risk assessments enhances transparency and reduces systemic risk exposure. Central banks and financial regulators increasingly incorporate climate-related financial disclosures into supervisory frameworks, reflecting recognition of climate risk as a financial stability issue.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 2, February -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

The macroeconomic implications of green transformation extend to fiscal and monetary policy domains. Climate-related disasters increase public expenditure on reconstruction and adaptation, while mitigation investments require upfront capital allocation. However, long-term cost-benefit analyses indicate that preventive mitigation is economically more efficient than reactive disaster response. According to IPCC findings, delayed mitigation increases cumulative costs and reduces feasibility of achieving temperature stabilization targets [8, p. 17]. Therefore, early policy intervention enhances cost-effectiveness and reduces transition risks.

Emerging economies play a crucial role in shaping global sustainability outcomes. Integration of green industrial policies into national development strategies supports domestic manufacturing of renewable technologies and reduces technological dependency. Green industrialization strategies align with export diversification and value-chain integration. Moreover, sustainable infrastructure investment—such as grid modernization and public transportation electrification—supports urban resilience and productivity growth.

The interaction between digitalization and sustainability also merits attention. Smart grids, data-driven resource management systems, and digital monitoring platforms improve efficiency and transparency in energy systems. Digital technologies facilitate demand-side management and enhance integration of intermittent renewable energy sources. Consequently, the convergence of digital transformation and green transition accelerates systemic modernization.

Despite measurable progress, several structural barriers remain. Fossil fuel subsidies distort market incentives and hinder low-carbon competitiveness. Policy inconsistency and regulatory uncertainty discourage long-term investment planning. Furthermore, developing countries often face financing constraints and limited institutional capacity for large-scale green infrastructure deployment. International climate finance mechanisms and multilateral development banks therefore play a critical role in bridging investment gaps.

The economic rationale for green transformation is increasingly supported by empirical evidence linking sustainability to productivity growth and innovation performance. Resource efficiency reduces input costs and enhances competitiveness. Cleaner air and reduced pollution improve public health outcomes, lowering healthcare expenditures and increasing labor productivity. The co-benefits of climate mitigation thus extend beyond emission reduction, encompassing social and economic gains.

In summary, the transition toward green economic models is characterized by systemic restructuring of energy systems, industrial production, financial markets, and governance institutions. Empirical data from the World Bank, OECD, IPCC, UNEP, IRENA, and the European Commission demonstrate that sustainability policies generate measurable economic, social, and environmental returns. However, achieving global climate targets requires accelerated implementation, strengthened policy coherence, and equitable transition frameworks. The evidence indicates that green economy strategies constitute a comprehensive development paradigm integrating environmental constraints into economic modernization and long-term growth planning.

Conclusion

Green economy and sustainable development constitute comprehensive economic models grounded in empirical evidence and institutional frameworks. International data confirm that renewable energy expansion, circular economy implementation, sustainable finance, and carbon pricing mechanisms generate measurable economic and environmental benefits.

Achieving global climate and sustainability targets requires accelerated investment, strengthened policy coordination, and enhanced technological innovation. The 21st-century economic paradigm increasingly integrates ecological constraints into macroeconomic governance, demonstrating that environmental protection and economic growth are not mutually exclusive but mutually reinforcing.

The green economy is therefore not a theoretical construct but a systemic transformation supported by global agreements, statistical indicators, and market mechanisms.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 2, February -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

References

1. World Commission on Environment and Development. *Our Common Future*. Oxford University Press, 1987, p. 43.
2. United Nations. *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. 2015, p. 3.
3. UNFCCC. *Paris Agreement*. 2015, pp. 21–24.
4. UNEP. *Towards a Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication*. 2011, pp. 5, 16, 21.
5. Geels F.W. "Technological transitions as evolutionary reconfiguration processes." *Research Policy*, 2002, Vol. 31, p. 98.
6. IRENA. *Renewable Capacity Statistics 2023*. 2023, pp. 15–18.
7. IRENA. *Renewable Energy and Jobs – Annual Review 2023*. 2023, p. 9.
8. IPCC. *AR6 Synthesis Report*. 2023, pp. 15–21.
9. OECD. *Towards Green Growth*. 2011, pp. 34, 76, 112.
10. European Commission. *Circular Economy Action Plan*. 2020, pp. 6, 14.
11. World Bank. *Green Bond Impact Report 2023*. 2023, p. 27.
12. World Bank. *Climate Change and Poverty Report*. 2020, p. 53.