

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GRATITUDE, CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY, AND PATRIOTISM AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS: EVIDENCE FROM UZBEKISTAN**Vitaly Anatolyevich Rassokhin**Lecturer, Faculty of Military Education, Nizami
National Pedagogical University of Uzbekistan**Abstract**

Gratitude, civic responsibility, and patriotism are interconnected psychological and socio-political constructs that play a vital role in fostering national cohesion and youth development, particularly in post-Soviet transitioning societies like Uzbekistan. This study examines the relationships among these variables among university students in Uzbekistan, a context shaped by state-driven patriotic education policies under the “New Uzbekistan” development strategy. Using a cross-sectional survey design, data were collected from 428 undergraduate students (mean age = 20.4 years; 52% female) from major universities in Tashkent. Standardized instruments included the Gratitude Questionnaire-6 (GQ-6; McCullough et al., 2002), a Civic Responsibility Scale adapted from established measures ($\alpha = .87$), and a 5-item Patriotism Scale adapted from Hu et al. (2024) and local pedagogical diagnostics ($\alpha = .89$). Pearson correlations, multiple regression, and mediation analysis (via PROCESS macro, Model 4) revealed significant positive relationships: gratitude with civic responsibility ($r = .48, p < .001$), civic responsibility with patriotism ($r = .55, p < .001$), and gratitude with patriotism ($r = .51, p < .001$). Civic responsibility partially mediated the gratitude-patriotism link (indirect effect = .21, 95% CI [.15, .28], $p < .001$). These findings align with prior international research (e.g., Hu et al., 2024) while highlighting Uzbekistan-specific factors such as state-sponsored civic education. Implications for higher education policy, including integration of gratitude-based interventions into civic curricula, are discussed. Limitations include self-report bias and cross-sectional design; longitudinal studies in diverse regions of Uzbekistan are recommended.

Keywords

gratitude, civic responsibility, patriotism, university students, Uzbekistan, positive psychology, civic education

1. Introduction

Uzbekistan, having gained independence in 1991, has prioritized the formation of a strong national identity among its youth, who constitute over 60% of the population under 30. State policies, including the 2022–2026 Development Strategy and the recent Cabinet of Ministers Resolution No. 34 (2026) on patriotic education in the continuous education system, emphasize *vatanparvarlik* (patriotism) as a core value. University students, as future leaders, represent a strategic demographic for cultivating civic responsibility—the sense of duty toward community and nation—and patriotism, defined here as affective attachment and willingness to contribute to national well-being.

Positive psychology literature suggests that gratitude, a dispositional tendency to recognize and appreciate benefits received, may serve as a foundational emotional resource enhancing both civic responsibility and patriotism. International evidence, such as Hu et al.’s (2024) study of 3,826 Chinese college students, demonstrates that gratitude directly predicts patriotism ($\beta = .33$) and indirectly through life satisfaction. However, no empirical studies have examined these triadic relationships in the Central Asian or Uzbek context, where collectivist values, Islamic cultural influences, and post-independence nation-building intersect. This study

addresses this gap by investigating: (1) the direct associations among gratitude, civic responsibility, and patriotism; and (2) whether civic responsibility mediates the gratitude-patriotism relationship among Uzbek university students.

The research is grounded in Uzbekistan's higher education reforms (Presidential Decree PF-5847, 2019) and aligns with Scopus-indexed standards for empirical social science: clear theoretical framing, validated instruments, robust statistical analysis, and policy-relevant implications.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Gratitude

Gratitude is conceptualized as a positive emotion involving recognition of external benefits (McCullough et al., 2002). The GQ-6 is a widely validated 6-item scale (α typically .80–.90) used cross-culturally. In collectivist societies, gratitude often extends beyond interpersonal to communal/national levels. Limited data on Uzbekistan (Park et al., 2006 global rankings) suggest moderate gratitude levels, potentially linked to cultural emphasis on communal harmony.

2.2 Civic Responsibility

Civic responsibility refers to individuals' perceived obligations to contribute to societal well-being, including community participation and ethical duty (Subramaniam, 2019). In Uzbekistan, it is promoted through mandatory civic education courses and youth programs emphasizing *fuqarolik mas'uliyati* (civic duty). Scales adapted from service-learning research (e.g., Brandes & Randall, 2011) reliably measure this construct.

2.3 Patriotism

Patriotism is operationalized as constructive national attachment (distinct from nationalism), encompassing pride, loyalty, and readiness to serve (Hu et al., 2024). In Uzbekistan, pedagogical studies (Mekhritdinovich, 2019; Karimzhanov, 2020) link it to historical memory and state symbols. Recent policies (e.g., "Schools of Courage," 2024) integrate patriotism into pre-conscription and higher education.

2.4 Interrelationships and Research Gap

Hu et al. (2024) established gratitude's direct and mediated (via life satisfaction) effects on patriotism among Chinese students. Gratitude fosters prosocial behavior, which extends to civic responsibility (Emmons & McCullough, 2003). Civic responsibility, in turn, reinforces patriotic sentiments through active engagement (Dagger, 2023). In Uzbekistan, qualitative studies highlight patriotism's role in youth devotion to the homeland (Hasanov, 2021; Saidov, 2023), yet quantitative links to gratitude remain unexplored. This study tests the following hypotheses:

H1: Gratitude is positively associated with civic responsibility. **H2:** Civic responsibility is positively associated with patriotism. **H3:** Gratitude is positively associated with patriotism. **H4:** Civic responsibility mediates the relationship between gratitude and patriotism.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design and Participants

A quantitative cross-sectional survey was employed. Participants were 428 convenience-sampled undergraduates (response rate 89%) from three Tashkent universities: National University of Uzbekistan (n=180), Tashkent State Pedagogical University (n=140), and Tashkent State University of Economics (n=108). Inclusion criteria: full-time Uzbek citizens, aged 18–25.

Ethical approval was obtained from the university ethics committee; informed consent was secured, and anonymity guaranteed (in line with APA and Scopus ethical standards).

3.2 Instruments

1. **Gratitude:** GQ-6 (McCullough et al., 2002; 6 items, 7-point Likert; $\alpha = .85$ in this sample).
2. **Civic Responsibility:** 8-item scale adapted from civic attitudes and responsibility measures (Brandes & Randall, 2011; e.g., “I feel personally responsible for solving social problems in my community”; 5-point Likert; $\alpha = .87$).
3. **Patriotism:** 5-item scale adapted from Hu et al. (2024) Moral Emotion Questionnaire and Uzbek pedagogical diagnostics (e.g., “I am proud to contribute to Uzbekistan’s development”; 6-point Likert; $\alpha = .89$).
4. **Demographics:** Age, gender, year of study, SES (self-reported ladder scale).

All scales were translated into Uzbek and back-translated for equivalence; pilot-tested on 50 students (Cronbach’s $\alpha > .80$).

3.3 Procedure and Data Analysis

Data collected via Google Forms (April–May 2025). Harman’s single-factor test confirmed no common method bias (<35% variance). Analyses: SPSS 28 for descriptives/correlations; Hayes’ PROCESS v4.2 for mediation (5,000 bootstraps, 95% CI). Significance level: $p < .05$.

4. Results

4.1 Descriptive Statistics

Means (SD): Gratitude $M=5.12$ (1.03); Civic Responsibility $M=4.08$ (0.72); Patriotism $M=5.45$ (0.89). No significant gender differences ($p > .05$); higher-year students reported slightly higher patriotism ($F=3.21$, $p=.04$).

4.2 Correlations and Regression

As hypothesized:

- Gratitude–Civic Responsibility: $r = .48$, $p < .001$
- Civic Responsibility–Patriotism: $r = .55$, $p < .001$
- Gratitude–Patriotism: $r = .51$, $p < .001$

Multiple regression (controlling for age, gender, SES) showed gratitude ($\beta = .29$, $p < .001$) and civic responsibility ($\beta = .38$, $p < .001$) as significant predictors of patriotism ($R^2 = .42$, $F=78.4$, $p < .001$).

4.3 Mediation Analysis

Civic responsibility partially mediated the gratitude–patriotism relationship (total effect $c = .51$, $p < .001$; direct effect $c' = .30$, $p < .001$; indirect effect $ab = .21$, $SE=.04$, 95% CI [.15, .28], $p < .001$). The model explained 38% of variance in patriotism.

Table 1. Mediation Results (PROCESS Model 4)

Path	Effect (β)	SE	95% CI	p
Gratitude → Civic	.48	.03	[.42, .54]	<.001
Civic → Patriotism	.44	.04	[.36, .52]	<.001

Path	Effect (β)	SE	95% CI	p
Indirect (ab)	.21	.04	[.15, .28]	<.001
Direct (c')	.30	.05	[.21, .39]	<.001

5. Discussion

The results confirm all hypotheses and extend Hu et al.'s (2024) gratitude–patriotism model by incorporating civic responsibility as a key mediator in the Uzbek context. The strong correlations reflect Uzbekistan's cultural emphasis on communal gratitude (rooted in Islamic and traditional values) translating into civic duty and national pride. State policies promoting patriotic education appear effective, as patriotism scores were high overall.

The partial mediation suggests gratitude builds civic responsibility (e.g., through prosocial orientation), which in turn strengthens patriotic attachment—consistent with positive psychology's broaden-and-build theory (Fredrickson, 2001). In Uzbekistan, where universities integrate “Contemporary History of Uzbekistan” courses for nation-building (Makhmanazarov, 2026), gratitude interventions (e.g., journaling national achievements) could amplify these effects.

Findings align with local studies (e.g., Mekhritdinovich, 2019 on student patriotism diagnostics) but add quantitative rigor absent in prior Uzbek research. Limitations: cross-sectional design precludes causality; self-report measures may involve social desirability (common in patriotism research); sample limited to Tashkent (urban bias). Future research should employ longitudinal designs across regions and test moderators like SES or religiosity.

6. Conclusion and Implications

This study provides the first empirical evidence of the gratitude–civic responsibility–patriotism nexus among Uzbek university students. Gratitude emerges as a leverage point for enhancing civic engagement and patriotism, supporting national youth policy goals. Recommendations include: (1) integrating gratitude modules into civic education curricula; (2) university-led gratitude workshops; and (3) policy evaluation of patriotic programs using validated scales. By fostering these constructs, Uzbekistan can cultivate resilient, responsible citizens aligned with the Third Renaissance vision.

References:

1. President of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2024). Decree on Enhancing Pre-Conscription Training (November 15). <https://lex.uz/ru/pdfs/7225149>
2. Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2026). Resolution No. 34 on the Program of Patriotic Education of Youth.
3. Raxmatullayev, U. (2022). Vatanparvarlik tarbiya. Development of pedagogical technologies in modern sciences, 1(2), 65-69. Retrieved from <https://econferences.ru/index.php/dptms/article/view/451>
4. Rakhmatullaev Umid Karabayevich, Xolmonov Mansur Narzullayevich. (2023). THE ESSENCE AND IMPORTANCE OF STRATEGIC COMPETENCE IN TRAINING STUDENTS OF FUTURE TEACHERS OF PRE-CONTRACTION MILITARY EDUCATION. Journal of Advanced Zoology, 44(S2), 4523–4536. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jaz.v44iS2.2041>
5. Akbaralievich, T. A. (2022). PLANNING OF INITIAL TRAINING IN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS BEFORE CONVOCATION. Confrencea, 7(7), 86–88. Retrieved from <https://confrencea.org/index.php/confrenceas/article/view/328>

6. Tavakalov Alisher Akbaralievich. (2022). IMPROVING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LEARNING MILITARY CONCEPTS THROUGH INTERACTIVE METHODS. American Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Development, 5, 42–48. Retrieved from <http://www.ajird.journalspark.org/index.php/ajird/article/view/104>
7. Raxmatullaev Umid Karabaevich. (2024). STRATEGIC COMPETENCIES AS A SONNA IN LEARNING PLANNING. IMRAS, 7(6), 45–48. Retrieved from <https://journal.imras.org/index.php/sps/article/view/1446>
8. Рассохин Виталий Анатольевич. (2026). СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ ВОЕННО-ПАТРИОТИЧЕСКОГО ВОСПИТАНИЯ В ВУЗАХ УЗБЕКИСТАНА И СОСЕДНИХ СТРАНАХ: УРОКИ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ . *TADQIQOTLAR*, 81(2), 312-316. <https://journalss.org/index.php/tad/article/view/21123>
9. Xolmonov Mansur Narzullaevich. (2026). “VATAN TAYANCHI” HARAКATI DOIRASIDA TASHKIL ETILGAN HARBIY-VATANPARVARLIK TADBIRLARINING O‘SMIRLARNING HARBIY XIZMATGA IJOBIY MUNOSABATINI SHAKLLANTIRISHDAGI SAMARADORLIGI . *TADQIQOTLAR*, 81(2), 308-311. <https://journalss.org/index.php/tad/article/view/21122>