pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

THE EMERGENCE AND DEVELOPMENT OF NEUROPSYCHOLINGUISTICS

Muslikhiddinova Rakhshona
Teacher of Asia international university

Abstract: This study explores the emergence and development of neuropsycholinguistics as an interdisciplinary field integrating linguistics, psychology, and neuroscience. It examines the relationship between language, cognition, and brain function, as well as the transition from behaviorist to cognitive and neurobiological approaches. The study highlights the role of neurolinguistics in understanding speech processes and demonstrates that the integration of psycholinguistic and neurological perspectives has led to a more comprehensive explanation of language mechanisms.

Keywords: Neuropsycholinguistics; Psycholinguistics; Neurolinguistics; Language and brain; Cognition; Speech processes; Aphasia

The study of the relationship between language and thought has evolved into a distinct field within modern science. In particular, the need to explain the connection between speech processes and brain activity led to the emergence of neuropsycholinguistics. What distinguishes this field is its approach to language not merely as a linguistic system, but as the result of an interaction between cognitive and neurological processes. Accordingly, neuropsycholinguistics seeks to explain language units not only in linguistic terms, but also through their biological and cognitive mechanisms.

The emergence of neuropsycholinguistics is closely linked to the intellectual demands arising at the intersection of several disciplines, including linguistics, psychology, and neurology. Initially, this field developed through the study of speech disorders; however, it gradually shifted toward the experimental investigation of language processing in the human brain. In particular, research aimed at identifying the relationship between brain structures and speech functions created the foundation for the field to establish itself as an independent domain of study.

At the present stage, neuropsycholinguistics continues to develop through the use of modern technologies such as neuroimaging methods, cognitive modeling, and experimental approaches. These advancements make it possible to explain more precisely the processes of language perception, processing, and production. At the same time, the field has considerable practical significance, serving as an effective tool for the diagnosis and rehabilitation of speech disorders.

Psycholinguistics, which examines the relationship between language and thought, and neurolinguistics, which investigates the neural mechanisms underlying speech activity, together form the theoretical and methodological foundation of this field. Therefore, in order to understand the emergence of neuropsycholinguistics, it is necessary to briefly consider the development of these two disciplines.

The relationship between language and thought is not a recently discovered phenomenon; rather, its conceptual roots can be traced back to Wilhelm von Humboldt. While Ferdinand de Saussure interpreted language primarily as a system of signs and structures, Humboldt viewed it as a dynamic process inherently linked to human thought. The methodological contrast between these two perspectives later played a significant role in shaping the foundations of psycholinguistics.

Within psycholinguistics, the human factor occupies a central position. This field does not focus solely on the structural aspects of language, but rather examines speech activity as it emerges in real communicative contexts, taking into account the individual's cognitive and psychological state.

One of the scholars who reflected on how language functions and how it may be disrupted was the Russian neuropsychologist Alexander Luria, who noted: "Understanding the

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

mechanisms of language provides deeper insight into the nature and functioning of the human brain."

The term "psycholinguistics" was first introduced into scientific discourse in 1936 by Jacob Kantor, although it did not gain immediate recognition. It was only after the publication of Nicholas Pronko's article Language and Psycholinguistics: A Review in 1946 that the term began to attract broader scholarly attention. Importantly, from that point onward, "psycholinguistics" came to be used as a unified, theoretically grounded term denoting an interdisciplinary field dedicated to the study of human language activity. Subsequently, the term became firmly established in academic discourse as a distinct scientific domain.

If the emergence of modern psycholinguistics is marked by the critical review written by Noam Chomsky in 1959 of Verbal Behavior, then its subsequent development can be associated with the early 1960s.[1]

Early psychological approaches to the study of language conceptualized the language-processing system as a relatively simple mechanism. Within this framework, two major directions can be distinguished: information theory and behaviorism. Information theory, developed by Claude Shannon and Warren Weaver, examined probabilistic and redundancy aspects of language, largely in response to the demands of telecommunications. Behaviorism, in contrast, was grounded in the relationship between stimulus and response, interpreting language as a form of observable behavior shaped by external stimuli.[2] This approach reached its fullest expression in B. F. Skinner's well-known work Verbal Behavior. Skinner's behaviorist framework sought to explain language in terms of stimulus–response mechanisms. However, this approach was subsequently criticized for its inability to account for the complex and generative nature of natural language.[3]

However, these perspectives were soon subject to a fundamental revision. A decisive role in this shift was played by Noam Chomsky's 1959 critical review, which marked the beginning of a new phase in the development of psycholinguistics. In this work, he demonstrated that behaviorism was inadequate for explaining the nature of natural language and proposed the theory of transformational-generative grammar. As a result, psycholinguistics turned toward investigating the psychological foundations of this theoretical framework.

A further stage in the development of psycholinguistics emerged in the mid-1970s. This phase, distinct from earlier approaches, is associated with the contributions of scholars such as J. Wertsch and Jerome Bruner in the United States, J. Mehler, J. Noizet, and D. Dubois in France, and the Norwegian psycholinguist R. Rommetveit, whose work significantly advanced the field.[4]

Today, neuropsycholinguistics draws on advances in both medicine and linguistics, employing modern technologies such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), electroencephalography (EEG), and neural network modeling to investigate language—one of the most fundamental human capacities—from the perspective of brain function.

Neurolinguistics, as a closely related component of neuropsycholinguistics, is a field devoted to the study of the complex and often elusive relationship between language and the human brain. The drive to better understand how linguistic processes are grounded in neural activity has been a key motivation behind its development. Accordingly, the field examines not only how language is formed and used in everyday communication, but also how it is organized and processed within the brain.

In this sense, neurolinguistics can be defined as the study of how language is represented and manifested in the brain.[5]

---

[1] Chomsky, N. (1959). *A review of B. F. Skinner's Verbal Behavior*. **Language**, 35(1), 26–58.

[2] Shannon, C. E., & Weaver, W. (1949). *The mathematical theory of communication*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

[3] Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal behavior*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

[4] Leontiev, A. A. (2003). *Fundamentals of psycholinguistics* (3rd ed.). Moscow: Smysl; Saint Petersburg: Lan.

[5] Linguistic Society of America. (n.d.). Retrieved December 20, 2024, from https://www.linguisticsociety.org

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

In general terms, language is regulated by the brain, and its manifestation across different communicative contexts is closely linked to neural processes. This field is significant not only from a theoretical perspective but also in terms of its practical applications in everyday life. While neurolinguistics primarily investigates the relationship between language and cognition, its scope of inquiry also includes the following areas:

- **Diagnosis and treatment of speech disorders:** This involves conditions such as aphasia (partial or complete loss of speech), dyslexia (difficulties in reading and writing), and dysarthria (speech impairments related to motor control), as well as the prevention of delayed speech development. The field contributes to the development of modern approaches for analyzing the causes of such disorders and designing effective therapeutic interventions.
- **Enhancement of cognitive abilities:** Neurolinguistic research also examines the positive effects of bilingualism on brain function and explores ways to apply these findings in practice.
- **Early detection of neurological diseases:** Degenerative conditions such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease may initially manifest through impairments in language and memory, making linguistic analysis a valuable diagnostic tool.

The formation of neurolinguistics as a scientific field can be traced back to the mid-nineteenth century, when it emerged at the intersection of linguistics, psychology, and neurology. A pivotal role in its development was played by Paul Broca, who was the first to establish a connection between speech function and specific regions of the brain. He identified a particular area responsible for speech production, later known as "Broca's area."

Broca presented his findings in his 1861 paper, "Remarks on the Seat of the Faculty of Articulated Language, Following an Observation of Aphemia (Loss of Speech)." In this study, he described a condition he termed "aphemia," referring to the loss of speech. He also demonstrated that this disorder results from a disruption in the coordination between linguistic processes and articulatory mechanisms. His observations included the following:

- Patients were able to perform all movements of the tongue and lips unrelated to speech without difficulty;
- When asked to move the tongue in various directions (up, down, left, or right), they could do so easily;
- Although these movements appeared normal, they were significantly less complex than the fine motor actions required for speech, indicating that the impairment was linked to damage in the frontal region of the brain—an area that later came to be known as "Broca's area."[6]

This discovery opened the way for investigating the physiological foundations of speech production. For the late nineteenth century, its significance was substantial, as it provided a crucial theoretical basis at a time when the functional organization of the brain was not yet clearly understood. In this context, Paul Broca not only introduced a scientific description of speech disorders but also pointed toward their neurological underpinnings and potential avenues for further study.

Subsequently, Karl Wernicke extended Broca's work by identifying and systematically describing a different type of language disorder, known as sensory aphasia. In such cases, speech is not entirely lost; patients may produce fluent utterances, yet their speech often lacks coherent meaning or becomes difficult to understand. Wernicke also identified the brain region responsible for language comprehension, later termed "Wernicke's area," and demonstrated its crucial role in semantic processing.

In cases of sensory aphasia described by Wernicke, patients typically:

- experience significant difficulties in language comprehension;

[6] Broca, P. (1861). *Remarks on the seat of the faculty of articulated language, following an observation of aphemia (loss of speech)*. **Bulletin de la Société Anatomique de Paris**, 6, 330–357. Retrieved December 20, 2024, from https://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Broca/aphemie-e.htm

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

- produce speech that is semantically disorganized or contains incorrect word substitutions (paraphasia);
- often remain unaware of the deficits present in their own speech.[7]

This evidence demonstrated that speech processes are closely linked to the coordinated activity of different regions of the brain, thereby strengthening the connection between neurology and psychology. These scientific insights later played a crucial role in the formation and development of modern neuropsychology and aphasia therapy.

In the early nineteenth century, Franz Joseph Gall proposed the idea that the shape of the skull and the structure of the brain are related to an individual's mental traits and abilities. This concept became one of the earliest theoretical foundations of neurolinguistics. Subsequently, the studies conducted by Paul Broca and Karl Wernicke provided scientific evidence that speech functions are associated with specific regions of the cerebral cortex: Broca's area is responsible for speech production, whereas Wernicke's area is involved in language comprehension.

In addition, the reflex theory developed in 1904 by Ivan Pavlov offered an explanation of language and speech as processes closely connected to neural reflex mechanisms. According to this theory, human speech is formed through a system of conditioned reflexes, and the acquisition of words occurs through the formation and reinforcement of these reflexive connections. As a result, language came to be understood as a complex system grounded in reflex activity. This perspective later contributed to the development of models explaining speech activity in terms of neurophysiological mechanisms.

This model was further refined in subsequent research. The Russian neurolinguist Alexander Luria demonstrated that higher cortical functions are not localized in isolated areas of the brain but are distributed across interconnected functional systems. According to his view, brain functions operate through the coordinated activity of multiple regions. The development of the field in the 1940s–1950s is associated with scholars such as E. Trager, A. Hecaen, and A. Luria. Luria's work Basic Problems of Neurolinguistics is considered one of the first comprehensive studies presenting a multidimensional analysis of the field.[8]

In general, the stages in the formation of neurolinguistics as a scientific discipline may be outlined as follows:

1. 1950s–1960s – The development of electronic technologies, such as electroencephalography (EEG) and early neuroimaging techniques, made it possible to investigate language processes in the brain, thereby laying the groundwork for the emergence of the field.

2. 1960s–1970s – Noam Chomsky provided a theoretical foundation for understanding language as a biologically based capacity of the human brain.

3. 1970s – Neurolinguistics developed as an integral part of the emerging cognitive sciences.

4. 1980s – Advances in functional neuroimaging techniques, including fMRI and PET scanning, enabled researchers to observe language processes across different regions of the brain.

5. 1990s – Increased attention was given to the neurobiological study of aphasia and other language disorders.

6. 2000s – The development of artificial neural networks and artificial intelligence contributed to the modeling of language processes in the brain.

[7] Wernicke, K. (1874). *The aphasic symptom complex: A psychological study on an anatomical basis*. Berlin: Max Cohn & Weigert. Retrieved December 20, 2024, from https://archive.org/details/b24763445

[8] Luria, A. R. (n.d.). Retrieved June 19, 2024, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alexander_Luria

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

Although psycholinguistics and neurolinguistics initially developed as independent fields, by the mid-twentieth century their integration led to the emergence of a new, comprehensive discipline—neuropsycholinguistics. This convergence can be explained by the recognition that speech activity cannot be adequately accounted for from either a purely psychological or a purely neurological perspective. Language processes simultaneously rely on cognitive functions (such as thinking, memory, and perception) and biological mechanisms related to brain activity.

While psycholinguistics primarily explains speech through internal mental processes, neurolinguistics focuses on its neural substrates. For example, the identification of specific brain regions by Paul Broca and Karl Wernicke demonstrated that speech production and comprehension are associated with distinct areas of the brain. However, when considered in isolation, these approaches proved insufficient to fully explain the complexity of language mechanisms.

By the mid-twentieth century, a fundamental scientific question emerged: is language primarily a product of brain activity, or an expression of cognitive processes? In addressing this issue, the functional systems theory proposed by Alexander Luria played a decisive role. According to this perspective, speech activity is not localized in isolated brain regions but is carried out through interconnected functional systems (Luria, 1962). This approach made it possible to examine psychological and neurological processes within a unified framework, thereby establishing the theoretical foundation of neuropsycholinguistics.

At the same time, Noam Chomsky argued that language is grounded in an innate biological capacity, conceptualizing it as an inherent cognitive faculty of the human mind (Chomsky, 1959). Taken together, these theoretical developments indicate that the integration of psychological and neurological approaches to language study emerged as a scientific necessity. This integration ultimately led to the formation of neuropsycholinguistics as an independent field, which investigates language as a complex system shaped by the interaction of brain processes, cognitive functions, and linguistic structures.

REFERENCES:

1. Broca, P. (1861). Remarks on the seat of the faculty of articulated language, following an observation of aphemia (loss of speech). Bulletin de la Société Anatomique de Paris, 6, 330–357. https://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Broca/aphemie-e.htm
2. Chomsky, N. (1959). A review of B. F. Skinner's Verbal Behavior. Language, 35(1), 26–58.
3. Leontiev, A. A. (2003). Fundamentals of psycholinguistics (3rd ed.). Smysl; Lan.
4. Linguistic Society of America. (n.d.). https://www.linguisticsociety.org
5. Luria, A. R. (1962). Higher cortical functions in man. Basic Books.
6. Luria, A. R. (1976). Basic problems of neurolinguistics. Mouton.
7. Shannon, C. E., & Weaver, W. (1949). The mathematical theory of communication. University of Illinois Press.
8. Skinner, B. F. (1957). Verbal behavior. Appleton-Century-Crofts.
9. Wernicke, K. (1874). The aphasic symptom complex: A psychological study on an anatomical basis. Max Cohn & Weigert. https://archive.org/details/b24763445